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Migration overview

- Compute + Storage => ☁️ AWS & Databricks
- Improve security and compliance
- Custom scheduler -> Airflow
- Mono-DAG
  - 1.4K tasks
  - Nestedness: up to 22 layers deep

Custom Trigger Rules
Example

Migrated DAG gradually. DAG served 2 purposes:

- Run Production tasks
- Validate not-yet migrated tasks

Components:

1. DataSync - All tasks need input data from legacy env
2. Production Operators - generates business value
3. Migration Operators - unreliable, under test
   - Output written to separate database and validated against synced data produced by task in legacy env

Dependencies on Migration Operators - not real, only to simplify migration for teams
Naïve approach

Pretend our migration tasks are the same as production tasks
Naïve approach problem

Migration tasks can fail, bringing down production tasks
Custom TriggerRuleDep

```python
class BaseOperator(...):

class ScribdBaseOperator(BaseOperator):
    deps: Iterable[BaseTIDep] = frozenset({
        NotInRetryPeriodDep(),
        PrevDagrunDep(),
        TriggerRuleDep(),
        TriggerRuleDepMigration(),
        NotPreviouslySkippedDep(),
    })
```
Custom TriggerRuleDep

```python
class TriggerRuleDep(BaseTIDep):
    class TriggerRuleDepMigration(TriggerRuleDep):

        @staticmethod
        def _get_states_count_upstream_ti(ti, finished_tasks):
            counter = Counter(task.state
            counter = Counter(task.state if task.operator not in {"MigrationOperator"} else State.SUCCESS
                for task in finished_tasks if task.task_id in ti.task.upstream_task_ids)
            return (counter.get(State.SUCCESS, 0),
                    counter.get(State.SKIPPED, 0),
                    counter.get(State.FAILED, 0),
                    counter.get(State.UPSTREAM_FAILED, 0),
                    sum(counter.values()),

        'trigger_rule'
```

Always treat Migration tasks as successful
Naïve approach solution - DAG
Perf. Problem

Unoptimized task can hold up all of the production downstreams
Perf. Optimization

```python
def _get_dep_statuses(self, ti, session, dep_context):
    ...  
    # see if the task name is in the task upstream for our task
    successes, skipped, failed, upstream_failed, done = self._get_states_count_upstream_ti(
        ti=ti, finished_tasks=dep_context.ensure_finished_tasks(ti.task.dag, ti.execution_date, session)
    )

    upstream_tis = session.query(TaskInstance).filter(
        TaskInstance.dag_id == ti.task.dag.dag_id,
        TaskInstance.execution_date == ti.execution_date,
        TaskInstance.task_id.in_(ti.task.upstream_task_ids),
    ).all()

    finished_or_migration_tasks = {
        ti for ti in upstream_tis
        if ti.state in State.finished or
        ti.operator in "MigrationOperator"
    }

    counter = Counter(ti.state if ti.operator not in "MigrationOperator" else State.SUCCESS
        for ti in finished_or_migration_tasks)
```

1. Get all upstream tasks
2. Take finished + Migration
3. Consider Migration tasks as SUCCESS
Perf. Optimization - DAG
Last problem: UPSTREAM_FAILED not propagated

If DataSync fails, all tasks have to take this into account and stop - State.UPSTREAM_FAILED has to be propagated
Task lifecycle refresher

Propagate UPSTREAM_FAILED

```
finished_or_migration_tasks = {
    ti for ti in upstream_tis
    if ti.state in State.finished or
        ti.operator in {"MigrationOperator"}
            (ti.operator in {"MigrationOperator"} and ti.state != State.NONE)
}
```

```
counter = Counter(State.SUCCESS if ti.operator in {"MigrationOperator"} else ti.state
counter = Counter(State.SUCCESS if ti.operator in {"MigrationOperator"}
    and ti.state != State.UPSTREAM_FAILED else ti.state
    for ti in finished_or_migration_tasks)
```

1. Exclude Migration tasks that didn’t meet deps criteria

2. Propagate UPSTREAM_FAILED Status
Propagate UPSTREAM_FAILED - DAG
Airflow 2.0 Upgrade
Spoiler alert: It’s a one way trip
Airflow upgrade check is your friend

```
pip install apache-airflow-upgrade-check
airflow upgrade_check
```
Airflow 2.0 upgrade - MySQL (Aurora RDS)

- MySQL 5.6 not supported by Airflow 2.0
  - Missing JSON column types
- MySQL 5.7 kind of works
- MySQL 8 not supported by Aurora RDS
  - Required for scheduler HA
Airflow 2.0 upgrade - Trigger rules

```python
@-390,12 +390,6 @@ def serialize_operator(cls, op: BaseOperator) -> Dict[str, Any]:
    module_name = kclass.__module__
    if not module_name.startswith("airflow.ti.deps.deps."):  
        raise SerializationError("Cannot serialize {op.dag.dag_id}+"+op.task_id)!r") with "deps" from non-core "
        raise SerializationError(f"module {module_name!r}"

    deps.append(f'{module_name}.(kclass.__name__)')
    serialize_op['deps'] = deps

@-584,14 +498,7 @@ def _is_excluded(cls, var: Any, attname: str, op: BaseOperator):
        instances |= set(["BaseTIDep"])  
        for qualname in set(deps):
            if not qualname.startswith("airflow.ti.deps.deps."):  
                log.error("Dep class \"%r\" not registered", qualname)
                continue  
            try:
                instances.add(import_string(qualname))()
            except ImportError:
                log.warning("Error importing dep \"%r", qualname, exc_info=True)
            return instances
```

Airflow 2.0 upgrade - Performance improvement

- Faster Web UI
- Faster scheduler
- Scheduler sharding
Scheduler CPU usage after 2.0 upgrade
Running Backfill at Scale
Running Backfill at scale

Our goal

1. Backfill data for 14 years
2. Our intended DAG concurrency (i.e. how many version of single DAG we can run concurrently) was 150, we settled later to 100

Limitless Limits

People say “Sky is the limit”, but to reach the sky there is a small matter of gravity that we have to overcome. Exactly that happened to us. Let us talk about our gravitational boundaries.
Airflow limits

**AIRFLOW_CORE_PARALLELISM**
The amount of parallelism as a setting to the executor. This defines the max number of task instances that should run simultaneously. Default value is 32. We override that in our backfill execution commands to 100.

**AIRFLOW__CORE__MAX_ACTIVE_RUNS_PER_DAG**
The maximum number of active DAG runs per DAG. It maps to max_active_runs attribute in the DAG definition. Default value is 16. We override it to 100.

**AIRFLOW__CORE__DAG_CONCURRENCY**
The number of task instances allowed to run concurrently by the scheduler in one DAG. It maps to concurrency attribute in the DAG definition. We override it to 100.
Databricks and AWS limits

Apart from Airflow limits, we got restricted by Databricks and AWS account limits while working on the backfill. Here are some examples:

1. AWS account limit of 1000 TB of total GP2 EBS volume size. We increased it to 1500 TB while at the same time reduced our EBS volume size per machine by almost 60%.
2. Databricks API limit. We were getting “429 Too Many Requests” errors from Databricks.
3. Databricks Node creation limit at 200 nodes per minute. We worked with Databricks to get these limits lifted for our account.
How much is too much

AIRFLOW__CORE__MAX_ACTIVE_RUNS_PER_DAG = 100

AIRFLOW__CORE__MAX_ACTIVE_RUNS_PER_DAG = 31

AIRFLOW__CORE__MAX_ACTIVE_RUNS_PER_DAG = 45
Self-Service Backfill UI plugin
Self-Service Backfill UI plugin

Why?

- Switch from Legacy in-house system to Airflow
- Increased load on Airflow Admins
- Give back the ability to run self-serviced backfills to our engineers
- Web UI based backfill trigger is still being discussed by the community
Self-Service Backfill UI plugin

Considered approaches:
- Feed all tasks to the scheduler
- New type of Job in the Web Server
- Use built-in Backfill functionality
Self-Service Backfill UI plugin

How?

- AWS Elastic Container Service
Self-Service Backfill UI plugin
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How?
- AWS Elastic Container Service
- AWS Elastic Container Registry
- ECS container to ECS calls
- New ECS container for each Backfill
- Aurora Relational Database Service (MySQL)
DAG: dummy-dag

BashOperator

[ DAG ]
  task1
  task2
Backfill

DAG Name
dummy-dag

Start Datetime
01.06.2021, 16:03

End Datetime
02.06.2021, 16:03

Tasks To Run (Could be Task ID or regex)

Config (JSON string that gets pickled into the DagRun's conf attribute)

Start  Clear
Wait a minute.

Here's the backfill params and the list of task instances you are about to backfill

Backfill Params:
dag_id=dummy-dag
start_date=2021-06-01T16:03
end_date=2021-06-02T16:03
ignore_dependencies=True

Task Instances List (2 task instances):
<TaskInstance: dummy-dag.task1 2021-06-02 02:21:00+00:00 [None]>
<TaskInstance: dummy-dag.task2 2021-06-02 02:21:00+00:00 [None]>
**Backfill job has been successfully triggered.**

### DAGs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAG</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Runs</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dummy-dag</td>
<td>data-eng</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21 2 ***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task: 6f0c0862eb0b40d485d6618f50ae60c9

**Cluster**: backfill-cluster

**Launch type**: FARGATE

**Platform version**: 1.4.0

**Task definition**: backfill-task-definition:17

**Group**: family:backfill-task-definition

**Task role**: ecsTaskExecutionRole

**Last status**: PENDING

**Desired status**: RUNNING

**Created at**: 2021-06-08 16:10:58 +0300
Exit Code: 0


Network bindings - not configured

Environment Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIRFLOW__CORE__SQL_ALCHEMY_CONN</td>
<td>mysql+pymysql://<em><strong><strong>:</strong></strong>**@</em><em><strong>.rds.amazonaws.com:</strong></em>***/airflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIRFLOW__LOGGING__COLORED_CONSOLE_LOG</td>
<td>False</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environment Files - not configured

Docker labels - not configured

Extra hosts - not configured

Mount Points - not configured

Volumes from - not configured

Ulimits - not configured

Elastic Inference - not configured

Log Configuration

Log driver: awsllogs View logs in CloudWatch

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>awslogs-group</td>
<td>/ecs/backfill-task-definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>awslogs-region</td>
<td>us-east-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>awslogs-stream-prefix</td>
<td>ecs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bug Busters
Backfill vs Scheduler
Backfill vs Scheduler

3 types of jobs in Airflow:

- SchedulerJob
- BackfillJob
- LocalTaskJob
Backfill vs Scheduler

Example:
1. SchedulerJob creates a DagRun and starts Task instances
2. SchedulerJob starts “task1”
3. BackfillJob started for a single task - “task1”
4. BackfillJob overwrites scheduler’s DagRun
5. SchedulerJob forgets about “task2” and it never gets triggered
Backfill vs Scheduler

Upstream fix PR under review: https://github.com/apache/airflow/pull/16089
Typos in task regex
Typo in task regex

From the Backfill CLI command help output:
-t TASK_REGEX, --task-regex TASK_REGEX The regex to filter specific task_ids to backfill (optional)

If you made a typo and typed --task-regex task3
You will get:
Databricks clusters cost optimization
Databricks clusters cost optimization

Why?

- AWS has limited number of instances for each AZ
  
  “We currently do not have sufficient capacity in the Availability Zone you requested”
Databricks clusters cost optimization

EC2 spot prices across availability zones:
Databricks clusters cost optimization

How?

- Custom Airflow Databricks operator
- AWS “Describe Spot Price History” API
- Take the cheapest AZ in AWS region
- Fallback to the next cheapest AZ
Databricks clusters cost optimization

Gain:
- 10-20% cost saving
- Reduce chances of running into AWS instance limit

Learn More: