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Who is Snap Inc.?
Scale of Airflow @ Snap

- 3000 DAGs
- 330K Task Instances / Day
- 200+ Operators
- 1000+ Active Users
2016
Built the first Airflow deployment with slightly less than 100 DAGs

2018
Multiple Airflow deployments on GKE for isolations. It soon grows to 50+! Very hard to manage with a lean team.

2019
Built a task level access control model with code integration. DAG count grew from few hundreds to 2000+, managing task level permissions was painful.

2022
Launch Airflow 2 side by side with brand new security model and toolings.

2023
Embraced Airflow 2+ and migrated teams over

Challenges
At this moment, there are multiple challenges regarding infra/software maintenance, permission management, discoverability, etc.
## Architecture Choices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Single cluster</th>
<th>Multiple single-tenant clusters</th>
<th>Multi-tenant cluster</th>
<th>Multiple multi-tenant clusters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintainability</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐</td>
<td>⭐</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐</td>
<td>⭐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalability</td>
<td>⭐⭐</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐</td>
<td>⭐⭐</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolation/Security</td>
<td>⭐</td>
<td>⭐⭐</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐</td>
<td>⭐⭐⭐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Previous Architecture

- Multiple clusters - **poor DAG discoverability and extra service for cross cluster dependencies**
- Sidecars to sync DAG code from GCS - *sometime inconsistent and difficult to track deployment*
- Celery executor with shared worker - **no flexibility in scale and runtime environment**
Current Architecture

- Heavily leveraging Kubernetes and embrace Airflow Kubernetes executor
- Enforced team level RBAC & pod level resource access control
- Number of tenants increased from 65+ to 125+
From Local to Remote Dev

Docker Desktop to host Airflow server in local is convenient, but..

- slow due to limited laptop resource
- hard to manage resource access permission
- inconsistent behavior with production
Remote Dev

- Leverage Skaffold for faster dev iteration in remote GKE - auto sync local files change to remote NFS on the fly

- Manage resource access with the same in-house tooling
Job Access Manager Architecture

- One service account per DAG
- One-stop access management: cloud resources & internal/external services
- Job profile
- ACL management
- Access review
Workload Identity

- Leverage *workload identity* to isolate permission footprint on each worker pod

- No credentials / keys store on disk nor in the Airflow database
Streamline access management

Deep integration with cloud IAM

Consolidated Role eases the permission management
Permission reduction

- To enforce Least Privilege Principle
- DAGs are isolated by using exclusive SA for each DAG
- DAGs are periodic. Permission is high likely not needed if it is unused after several DAG run intervals.
- Very helpful after migration. Earlier permissions are over-provisioned for one DAG as SA is shared by multiple DAGs.
Security - RBAC

Access group name shows only DAGs they own

Opens IAM UI with all group members

Opens Job Access Manager UI with all resources owned by access group
DAG code security

CI/CD checks ensure DAGs are free from common security vulnerabilities

- Pre-commit scan - for monitoring branch commits
- PR scan - for monitoring commits to main
- Daily scan of main - to prevent vulnerabilities introduced outside CI/CD
Migration Challenges

- Engineering resources
  - DAG owners are busy people
  - How to entice Airflow customers to move?

- Operator availability
  - New secure operators have to be created
  - It’s hard to make some operators secure (e.g. GKEPodOperator)

- Migration efficiency
  - How to make migration simple, fast and error-free?
  - How to organize, engage and facilitate customer team migrations?
Migration Flow

**Tools**

- Converter tool
- Job Access Manager
- Diffing on render template
- Metadata service

**Goals for migration process:**

- Ease of migration / user experience
- Customer feels supported
- Zero negative production outcomes

**Tools**

- Converter - code changes
- Job Access Manager - add permissions/roles base on old service account
- Diffing on render template to confirm new DAG works
- Metadata service - allow Airflow v1 and v2 external task sensors to poke across for clusters dependencies
DAG Generation from Metadata

- Collect metadata from old DAG to generate v2 code and permissions
- Work great for operators with limited custom logic

Worked for ~40% of DAGs
Takeaways

- **Infrastructure**
  - Multi-tenant cluster
  - Remote server for testing and backfill

- **Security**
  - One service account per DAG
  - Mapped to workload identity of execution pod
  - RBAC for UI and service account access
  - DAG code CI/CD scanning

- **Migration**
  - Maximum automation
  - Positive customer engagement
  - Flexibility with approach to different customers
  - Executive support
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